United States District Court

DEC 1 3 2023

for the

	Eastern District of	California	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN BY
United States of America	`		DEPUTY CLERK
V.)		
v.)	Case No. 2:23-cr-0030)9-KJM
ELMER YUSAY NGO)	0.00 . (6. 2.25 01 005 0	
Defendant)		
ORDER C	OF DETENTION	N PENDING TRIAL	
]	Part I - Eligibility fo	or Detention	
Upon the			
X Motion of the Government at	ttorney pursuant to 1	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
Motion of the Government of	r Court's own motio	n pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §	3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.			
Part II - Findings of	f Fact and Law as to	o Presumptions under §	3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises U	•	· · · · · ·	
presumption that no condition or comb and the community because the following			e safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged wit	-		U.S.C. 8 3142(f)(1)·
(a) a crime of violence, a v		-	
		-	rs or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the		•	
(c) an offense for which a		•	
	t (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-9	904), the Controlled Subst	ances Import and Export Act
<u> </u>	-		s described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this para	graph, or two or mores (a) through (c) of the	re State or local offenses this paragraph if a circums	hat would have been offenses tance giving rise to Federal
(e) any felony that is not o	therwise a crime of v	violence but involves:	
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the (iii) any other dangerous w			as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously	been convicted of a	Federal offense that is de	escribed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or loc		d have been such an offen	se if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had exist			
(3) the offense described in para			
committed while the defendant			
(4) a period of not more than fix defendant from imprisonment, f			
ucichiant mom miprisonilicht, i	or the oriense desert	ova ni paragraph (2) aoov	o, minorio e di la later.

X B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
X (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
X C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
X The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. X By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

Case 2:23-cr-00309-KJM Document 12 Filed 12/13/23 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
X	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: December 13, 2023

DEBORAH BARNES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE